arXiv:1510.06864v1 [math.NT] 23 Oct 2015

ON THE EXISTENCE OF NON-GEOMETRIC SECTIONS OF ARITHMETIC FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS

Mohamed Saïdi

Abstract. We show the existence of group-theoretic sections of the *"étale-by-geometrically abelian" quotient* of the arithmetic fundamental group of hyperbolic curves over p-adic local fields *relative to a proper and flat model* which are *non-geometric*, i.e., which do not arise from rational points.

§**0. Introduction/Statement of the Main Result.** This note is motivated by the *p-adic analog of the Grothendieck anabelian section conjecture*, which asks if *group-theoretic sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of hyperbolic curves over p-adic local fields all arise from rational points*. (See [Saïdi] for more details on the statement of this conjecture). For the time being it is not known if this conjecture holds or not (cf. loc. cit. for a conditional proof of this conjecture).

Recently, examples were found of group-theoretic sections of certain *quotients of arithmetic fundamental groups* of curves over p-adic local fields which are *non-geometric*, i.e., which *do not arise from rational points*. Hoshi has provided examples of sections of the *geometrically pro-p* quotient of arithmetic fundamental groups of curves over p-adic local fields which are non-geometric (cf. [Hoshi]). (Actually, Hoshi's example arises from group-theoretic sections of geometrically pro-p fundamental groups of hyperbolic curves over number fields (cf. loc. cit.)). In [Saïdi1] we provided examples of group-theoretic sections of *geometrically prime-to-p* fundamental groups of hyperbolic curves over p-adic local fields which are non-geometric (cf. loc. cit. §3). *The existence of these examples is crucial for our understanding of the p-adic section conjecture*. Indeed, if the p-adic version of the section conjecture holds true then it may possibly hold true even for smaller quotients of the arithmetic fundamental group, and one would like to know these quotients in this case. On the other hand, more elaborate examples of non-geometric sections as above may lead to a counterexample for the p-adic version of the section conjecture.

In this note *we provide examples of sections of certain quotients of arithmetic fundamental groups of curves over p-adic local fields which are non-geometric*. (Our examples, including the quotients of arithmetic fundamental groups involved, are quite different from those considered in [Hoshi]). The quotients of arithmetic fundamental groups we consider are roughly speaking the "*étale-by-geometrically abelian*" quotient of the arithmetic fundamental group of a curve over a p-adic local field *relative to a proper and flat model* over the ring of integers of the base field (cf. the discussion before Theorem A for a precise definition of this quotient).

Next, we fix some notations and state our main results. Let

$$1 \to H' \to H \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} G \to 1$$

be an exact sequence of profinite groups. We will refer to a continuous homomorphism $s : G \to H$ such that $\mathrm{pr} \circ s = \mathrm{id}_G$ as a (group-theoretic) **section** of the above sequence, or simply a section of the projection $\mathrm{pr} : H \twoheadrightarrow G$.

In this paper $p \geq 2$ is a *prime integer*. Let k be a p-**adic local field**; i.e., $k/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a finite extension, with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_k$, and residue field F. Thus, F is a *finite field* of characteristic p. Let $X \to \mathrm{Spec}\, k$ be a proper, smooth, and geometrically connected **hyperbolic** (i.e., $\mathrm{genus}(X) \geq 2$) **curve** over k, and $\mathcal{X} \to \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathcal{O}_k$ a **proper**, and **flat model** of X over $\mathcal{O}_k$. We have a commutative diagram with cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}\, k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathcal{O}_k \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{X}_s & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}\, F \end{array}$$

where the right vertical maps are the natural ones, and $\mathcal{X}_s \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} F$ is *the special fibre* of $\mathcal{X}$.

Let η be a geometric point of X above the generic point of X. Then η determines naturally an algebraic closure $\overline{k}$ of k, and a geometric point $\bar{\eta}$ of $\overline{X} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} X \times_k \overline{k}$. There exists a canonical exact sequence of profinite groups (cf. [Grothendieck], Exposé IX, Théorème 6.1)

$$1 \to \pi_1(\overline{X}, \bar{\eta}) \to \pi_1(X, \eta) \to G_k \to 1.$$

Here, $\pi_1(X, \eta)$ denotes the *arithmetic étale fundamental group* of X with base point η, $\pi_1(\overline{X}, \bar{\eta})$ the étale fundamental group of $\overline{X} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} X \times_k \overline{k}$ with base point $\bar{\eta}$, and $G_k \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ the absolute Galois group of k.

Let ξ be a geometric point of $\mathcal{X}_s$ above the generic point of some *irreducible component* X_{i_0} of $\mathcal{X}_s$. Then ξ determines naturally an algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ of F, and a geometric point $\bar{\xi}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{X}_s} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{X}_s \times_F \overline{F}$. There exists a canonical exact sequence of profinite groups (cf. loc. cit.)

$$1 \to \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_s}, \bar{\xi}) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_s, \xi) \to G_F \to 1.$$

Here, $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}_s, \xi)$ denotes the *arithmetic étale fundamental group* of $\mathcal{X}_s$ with base point ξ, $\pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_s}, \bar{\xi})$ the étale fundamental group of $\overline{\mathcal{X}_s} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{X}_s \times_F \overline{F}$ with base point $\bar{\xi}$, and $G_F \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ the absolute Galois group of F.

In what follows we *assume that the* gcd *of the multiplicities of the irreducible components of* $\mathcal{X}_s$ *equals* 1. Then, after a suitable choice of the base points ξ and η, there exists a natural commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} & \longrightarrow & I_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & I_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{X},\bar{\eta}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X,\eta) & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_s},\bar{\xi}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_s,\xi) & \longrightarrow & G_F & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & &
\end{array}
\tag{0.1}
$$

where the vertical and horizontal sequences are exact, I_k (resp. $I_{\mathcal{X}}$, and $I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}$) are defined so that the right (resp. the middle, and left) vertical sequence is exact (I_k is the inertia subgroup of G_k); as follows easily from the *specialisation theory* for fundamental groups of Grothendieck (cf. [Grothendieck], Exposé X, §2, and [Raynaud], Proposition 6.3.5, for the surjectivity of the left lower vertical map under our assumption on the gcd of the multiplicities of the components of $\mathcal{X}_s$, and the fact that F is perfect). Also, consider the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{X},\bar{\eta}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X,\eta) & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_s},\bar{\xi}) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\pi}_1(\mathcal{X}_s,\xi) & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_s},\bar{\xi}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_s,\xi) & \longrightarrow & G_F & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & &
\end{array}
\tag{0.2}
$$

where the horizontal sequences are exact, the upper and lower horizontal sequences are the middle and lower, respectively, horizontal sequences in diagram (0.1), the lower right square is *cartesian*, and all vertical maps are surjective. Thus, $\widehat{\pi}_1(\mathcal{X}_s,\xi)$ is the *pullback* of $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}_s,\xi)$ via the natural projection $G_k \twoheadrightarrow G_F$. We shall refer to the quotient

$$\pi_1(X,\eta) \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\pi}_1(\mathcal{X}_s,\xi)$$

of $\pi_1(X,\eta)$ as the **étale** quotient of $\pi_1(X,\eta)$ **relative to the model** $\mathcal{X}$. We have an exact sequence (cf. diagram (0.1), and diagram (0.2))

$$1 \to I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} \to \pi_1(X,\eta) \to \widehat{\pi}_1(\mathcal{X}_s,\xi) \to 1.$$

Write $I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ for the maximal *abelian* quotient of $I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}$, which is a *characteristic* quotient. Consider the following *pushout* diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{X},\bar{\eta}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_s},\bar{\xi}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & I^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} & \longrightarrow & \Delta^{\mathrm{et,ab}}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_s},\bar{\xi}) & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\tag{0.3.1}
$$

which defines a natural quotient $\Delta^{\mathrm{et,ab}}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}$ of $\pi_1(\overline{X},\bar{\eta})$, and

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} & \longrightarrow & I_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & I_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & I^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} & \longrightarrow & I^{(\mathrm{ab})}_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & I_k & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\tag{0.3.2}
$$

which defines a natural quotient $I^{(\mathrm{ab})}_{\mathcal{X}}$ of $I_{\mathcal{X}}$. Let

$$
R_{\mathcal{X}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Ker}(I_{\mathcal{X}} \to I^{(\mathrm{ab})}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \mathrm{Ker}(I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} \to I^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_1(\overline{X},\bar{\eta}) \to \Delta^{\mathrm{et,ab}}_{\mathcal{X}}).
$$

Note that $R_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a *normal subgroup* of $\pi_1(X,\eta)$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that $I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}$ is the kernel of the natural surjective homomorphism $\pi_1(X,\eta) \twoheadrightarrow \hat{\pi}_1(\mathcal{X}_s,\xi)$, and $I^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}$ is a characteristic quotient of $I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}$. Write

$$
\Pi^{(\mathrm{et},ab)}_{\mathcal{X}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \pi_1(X,\eta)/R_{\mathcal{X}}.
$$

Note that $I^{(\mathrm{ab})}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the image of $I_{\mathcal{X}}$ in $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et},ab)}_{\mathcal{X}}$ (cf. diagram (0.3.2)).

We have a natural commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{X},\bar{\eta}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X,\eta) & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \Delta^{\mathrm{et,ab}}_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} & \longrightarrow & \Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}_{\mathcal{X}} & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\tag{0.4}
$$

We will refer to $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}_{\mathcal{X}}$ as the **étale-by-geometrically abelian** quotient of $\pi_1(X,\eta)$ **relative to the model** $\mathcal{X}$. Note that a rational point $x \in X(k)$ gives rise naturally (by the fuctoriality of π_1) to (a conjugacy class of) a group-theoretic section

$$
s_x : G_k \to \pi_1(X,\eta)
$$

of the upper horizontal exact sequence in diagram (0.4), hence gives also rise to a section

$$
s_x : G_k \to \Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}_{\mathcal{X}}
$$

of the natural projection $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}_{\mathcal{X}} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ (cf. diagram (0.4)). We will refer to such a section as a **geometric** section of $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}_{\mathcal{X}}$. A **non-geometric** section of the projection $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}_{\mathcal{X}} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ is a group-theoretic section which doesn't arise from a k-rational point x as above. In this paper we investigate sections of $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}_{\mathcal{X}} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ for a given model $\mathcal{X}$ of X. Our main results provide *examples of group-theoretic sections of the natural projection* $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}_{\mathcal{X}} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ *which are non-geometric* (cf. Theorem B, and Theorem C).

Definition 0.1. We use the same notations as above. Let $\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_k$ be a *proper* and *flat* model of X over $\mathcal{O}_k$. We say that *the model $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies the condition* $(\star)$ if the following holds.
(a) The scheme $\mathcal{X}$ is **regular**.
(b) There exists an **irreducible component** X_{i_0} of the special fibre $\mathcal{X}_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} F$ of $\mathcal{X}$ with the following properties.
(i) X_{i_0} is **reduced**. In particular, X_{i_0} is *geometrically reduced* since F is perfect, and *the* gcd *of the multiplicities of the irreducible components of $\mathcal{X}_s$ equals* 1.
(ii) X_{i_0} is **geometrically irreducible**. Thus, X_{i_0} is *geometrically integral* since F is perfect.
(iii) X_{i_0} is **geometrically unibranch**, i.e., for each finite extension F'/F the morphism of normalisation $X_{i_0,F'}^{\text{nor}} \to X_{i_0,F'} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_{i_0} \times_F F'$ is a *homeomorphism*.
(iv) The **normalisation** $X_{i_0}^{\text{nor}}$ of X_{i_0} is **hyperbolic**.

Remark 0.2. (i) If the curve X has *good reduction* over $\mathcal{O}_k$, i.e., if $X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ extends to a *proper and smooth relative curve* $\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_k$ over $\mathcal{O}_k$, then the smooth model $\mathcal{X}$ of X satisfies the condition $(\star)$.
(ii) If the curve X satisfies the condition $(\star)$, then the *index* of X equals 1 (cf. [Liu], exercise 9.1.9, and the reference therein).

Our main results in this paper are the following.

Theorem A. *Let X be a proper, smooth, and geometrically connected* **hyperbolic curve over the p-adic local field** *k. Let $\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_k$ be a proper and flat model of X over $\mathcal{O}_k$.* **Assume that the model $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies the condition** $(\star)$ *(cf. Definition 0.1). Then the corresponding exact sequence*

$$1 \to \Delta_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}^{\text{et,ab}} \to \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\text{et,ab})} \to G_k \to 1,$$

where $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\text{et,ab})}$ is the **étale-by-geometrically abelian** *quotient of the arithmetic fundamental group of X* **relative to the model** *$\mathcal{X}$ (cf. diagram (0.4)) is a* **split** *exact sequence of profinite groups.*

As a corollary of Theorem A we obtain the following.

Theorem B. *There* **exists** *a p-adic local field k, and a smooth, projective, geometrically connected, and* **hyperbolic curve** *X over k, such that the following holds. There exists a* **proper** *and* **smooth** *model $\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_k$ of X (i.e., the curve X has* **good reduction** *over $\mathcal{O}_k$), and a group-theoretic* **section** *$s : G_k \to \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\text{et,ab})}$ of the corresponding exact sequence $1 \to \Delta_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}^{\text{et,ab}} \to \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\text{et,ab})} \to G_k \to 1$ (cf. diagram (0.4), and the discussion before Theorem A) which is* **non-geometric**, *i.e., which doesn't arise from a k-rational point of X.*

Proof. Indeed, this follows from Theorem A, Remark 0.2 (i), and the fact that there exists a p-adic local field k and a k-curve X satisfying the assumptions in Theorem B such that $X(k) = \emptyset$ (cf. [Saïdi1], Proof of Proposition 3.2.1). □

More generally, we obtain the following.

Theorem C. *There* **exists** *a p-adic local field k, and a smooth, projective, geometrically connected, and* **hyperbolic curve** *X over k such that the following holds. The curve X has* **bad semi-stable reduction** *over $\mathcal{O}_k$, there exists a* **proper** *and* **flat semi-stable regular** *model $\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_k$ of X over $\mathcal{O}_k$, and a group-theoretic* **section** *$s : G_k \to \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}$ of the corresponding exact sequence $1 \to \Delta_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}^{\mathrm{et,ab}} \to \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\mathrm{et,ab})} \to G_k \to 1$ (cf. diagram (0.4), and the discussion before Theorem A) which is* **non-geometric**, *i.e., which doesn't arise from a k-rational point of X.*

§1. Group theoretic sections of the étale-by-geometrically abelian quotient $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}$. We use the notations introduced in §0. In this section we investigate the group-theoretic splittings of the exact sequence (cf. §0, diagram (0.4))

$$1 \to \Delta_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}^{\mathrm{et,ab}} \to \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\mathrm{et,ab})} \to G_k \to 1.$$

Let k, $X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$, and $\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_k$, be as in the discussion before Theorem A. Thus,

$$X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$$

is a smooth, proper, and geometrically connected *hyperbolic curve over the p-adic local field k*, and

$$\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_k$$

is a *proper*, and *flat model* of X over the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_k$ of k, such that the gcd *of the multiplicities of the irreducible components of $\mathcal{X}_s$ equals* 1. It follows from the various definitions that we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

(1.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}^{\mathrm{ab}} & = & I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}^{\mathrm{ab}} & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \Delta^{\mathrm{et,ab}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Delta_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}^{\mathrm{et,ab}} & \longrightarrow & \Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\mathrm{et,ab})} & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \Delta^{\mathrm{et}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_s}, \bar{\xi}) & \longrightarrow & \Pi^{(\mathrm{et})} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \widehat{\pi}_1(\mathcal{X}_s, \xi) & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & & &
\end{array}$$

where we noted

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{et}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Delta_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}^{\mathrm{et}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{X}_s}, \bar{\xi}), \qquad \Delta^{\mathrm{et,ab}} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Delta_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}}^{\mathrm{et,ab}},$$

and

$$\Pi^{(\mathrm{et})} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\mathrm{et})} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \widehat{\pi}_1(\mathcal{X}_s, \xi), \qquad \Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}.$$

The profinite group Δ^{et} is **finitely generated** (as follows from the well-known finite generation of the profinite group $\pi_1(\overline{X}, \overline{\eta})$ which projects onto Δ^{et}). Let $\{\Delta^{\mathrm{et},i}\}_{i\geq 1}$ be a countable system of **characteristic open** subgroups of Δ^{et} such that

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{et},i+1} \subseteq \Delta^{\mathrm{et},i}, \quad \Delta^{\mathrm{et},1} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Delta^{\mathrm{et}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{i\geq 1} \Delta^{\mathrm{et},i} = \{1\}.$$

Write $\Delta_i \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Delta^{\mathrm{et}}/\Delta^{\mathrm{et},i}$. Thus, Δ_i is a *finite, characteristic* quotient of Δ^{et}, and we have a *pushout* diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Delta^{\mathrm{et}} & \longrightarrow & \Pi^{(\mathrm{et})} & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \Delta_i & \longrightarrow & \Pi_i & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

which defines a (*geometrically finite*) quotient Π_i of $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et})}$.

Note that the upper horizontal sequence in diagram (1.2) **splits**. Indeed, the lower horizontal exact sequence in diagram (0.2) splits since the Galois group G_F of F is pro-free (F being a finite field), hence the middle horizontal sequence in diagram (0.2); which is by definition the sequence $1 \to \Delta^{\mathrm{et}} \to \Pi^{(\mathrm{et})} \to G_k \to 1$, splits since the lower right square in that diagram is cartesian. Let

$$s : G_k \to \Pi^{(\mathrm{et})}$$

be a **section** of the upper sequence in diagram (1.2), which naturally induces a section

$$s_i : G_k \to \Pi_i$$

of the lower sequence in diagram (1.2), for each $i \geq 1$. Write

$$\widehat{\Pi}^i \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \widehat{\Pi}^i[s] \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Delta^{\mathrm{et},i}.s(G_k).$$

Thus, $\widehat{\Pi}^i \subseteq \Pi^{\mathrm{et}}$ is an *open* subgroup which contains the image $s(G_k)$ of s. Write Π^i for the **inverse image** of $\widehat{\Pi}^i$ in $\pi_1(X, \eta)$. Thus, $\Pi^i \subseteq \pi_1(X, \eta)$ is an *open* subgroup which corresponds to an étale cover

$$X_i \to X_1 \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} X$$

defined over k (since Π^i maps onto G_k via the natural projection $\pi_1(X, \eta) \twoheadrightarrow G_k$, by the very definition of Π^i). Moreover, it follows from the various definitions that the étale cover $X_i \to X$ extends to an étale cover

$$\mathcal{X}_i \to \mathcal{X},$$

defined over $\mathcal{O}_k$.

Note that the étale cover $\overline{X}_i \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} X_i \times_k \overline{k} \to \overline{X}$ is Galois with Galois group Δ_i, and we have a commutative diagram of étale covers

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X}_i & \longrightarrow & \overline{X} \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \\ X_i & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

where $\overline{X}_i \to X$ is Galois with Galois group Π_i, and $\overline{X}_i \to X_i$ is Galois with Galois group $s_i(G_k)$. Moreover, we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & 1 & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \Delta^i = \pi_1(\overline{X}_i, \bar{\eta}) & \longrightarrow & \Pi^i = \pi_1(X_i, \eta) & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow & & \big\| & & | \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{X}, \bar{\eta}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X, \eta) & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

where Δ^i is the *inverse image* of $\Delta^{\mathrm{et},i}$ in $\pi_1(\overline{X}, \bar{\eta})$, and the equalities $\Delta^i = \pi_1(\overline{X}_i, \bar{\eta})$, $\Pi^i = \pi_1(X_i, \eta)$, are natural identifications; the base points η (resp. $\overline{\eta}$) of X_i (resp. $\overline{X}_i$) are those induced by the base points η (resp. $\overline{\eta}$) of X (resp. $\overline{X}$). Note that $\Pi^{i+1} \subseteq \Pi^i$ and $\Delta^{i+1} \subseteq \Delta^i$ as follows from the various definitions.

Lemma 1.1. *With the same notations as above, the following holds:*

$$I_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}} = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} \Delta^i, \qquad \textit{and} \qquad I_{\mathcal{X}} = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} \Pi^i.$$

Proof. Follows from the various definitions. □

For each integer $i \geq 1$, write $\Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}}$ for the maximal *abelian* quotient of Δ^i; which is a *characteristic* quotient. Consider the natural *pushout* diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Delta^i = \pi_1(\overline{X}_i, \bar{\eta}) & \longrightarrow & \Pi^i = \pi_1(X_i, \eta) & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}} & \longrightarrow & \Pi^{(i,\mathrm{ab})} & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Thus, $\Pi^{(i,\mathrm{ab})}$ is the **geometrically abelian** fundamental group of X_i. Write $J_i \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} J_{X_i}$ for the jacobian variety of X_i, and $\overline{J_i} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} J_i \times_k \overline{k}$ for the jacobian variety of $\overline{X}_i$. Let J_i^1 be the J_i-torsor $\mathrm{Pic}^1_{X_i}$. We have $J_i^1(k) \neq \emptyset$ if X_i has **index** 1. In this case we have an identification $J_i \stackrel{\sim}{\to} J_i^1$. Moreover, $\Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}}$ is naturally identified with the Tate module $T\overline{J_i}$ of $\overline{J_i}$ as G_k-modules.

Lemma 1.2. *We use the above notations. The exact sequence*

$$1 \to \Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}} \to \Pi^{(i,\mathrm{ab})} \to G_k \to 1$$

is a **split** *exact sequence of profinite groups* **if** *the* **index** *of X_i equals* 1.

Proof. Indeed, this exact sequence is naturally identified with the exact sequence $1 \to \pi_1(\overline{X}_i, \bar{\eta})^{\mathrm{ab}} \to \pi_1(X_i, \eta)^{(\mathrm{ab})} \to G_k \to 1$, where $\pi_1(\overline{X}_i, \bar{\eta})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is the maximal

abelian quotient of $\pi_1(\overline{X_i}, \bar{\eta})$, and $\pi_1(X_i, \eta)^{(\mathrm{ab})}$ is the geometrically abelian quotient of X_i. Moreover, it is well-known that the exact sequence $1 \to \pi_1(\overline{X_i}, \bar{\eta})^{\mathrm{ab}} \to \pi_1(X_i, \eta)^{(\mathrm{ab})} \to G_k \to 1$ splits if the class $[J_i^1]$ of J_i^1 in $H^1(G_k, J_i)$ is trivial (cf. [Harari-Szamuely], Theorem 1.2, for a more general/precise statement). $\square$

Consider the natural *pullback* commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & I_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ab}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}[s] & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle s}\downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & I_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ab}} & \longrightarrow & \Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})} & \longrightarrow & \Pi^{(\mathrm{et})} & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \tag{1.3}$$

where the right square is cartesian. Thus, (the group extension) $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the *pullback* of (the group extension) $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}$ via the section $s : G_k \to \Pi^{(\mathrm{et})}$.

Lemma 1.3. *We have natural identifications* $I_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \varprojlim_{i \geq 1} \Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}}$, *and* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \varprojlim_{i \geq 1} \Pi^{(i,\mathrm{ab})}$.

Proof. Follows from the various definitions. More precisely, recall the étale Galois cover $\overline{X}_i \to \overline{X}$ with Galois group Δ_i. For each integer $j \geq 1$, let $\Delta_{i,j} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}}/j.\Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}}$, which is a characteristic quotient of $\Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}}$, and $\overline{X}_{i,j} \to \overline{X}_i$ the corresponding étale abelian cover with Galois group $\Delta_{i,j}$. Then the étale cover $\overline{X}_{i,j} \to \overline{X}$ is Galois with Galois group $\widetilde{\Delta}_{i,j}$, which inserts in the following exact sequence $1 \to \Delta_{i,j} \to \widetilde{\Delta}_{i,j} \to \Delta_i \to 1$. The $\{\widetilde{\Delta}_{i,j}\}_{(i,j)}$, where the set of pairs (i,j) is endowed with the product order, form a cofinal system of finite quotients of $\Delta^{\mathrm{et,ab}}$. From this it follows that the $\{\Delta_{i,j}\}_{(i,j)}$ form a cofinal system of finite quotients of $I_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Thus, $I_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \varprojlim_{(i,j)} \Delta_{i,j} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \varprojlim_{i \geq 1} (\varprojlim_{j \geq 1} \Delta_{i,j}) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \varprojlim_{i \geq 1} \Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}}$. The identification $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \varprojlim_{i \geq 1} \Pi^{(i,\mathrm{ab})}$ follows immediately. $\square$

Lemma 1.4. *The exact sequence* $1 \to \Delta^{\mathrm{et,ab}} \to \Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})} \to G_k \to 1$ **splits if** *the exact sequence* $1 \to I_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}} \to G_k \to 1$ **splits**.

Proof. Follows immediately from diagram (1.3). $\square$

Proposition 1.5. *Suppose that the exact sequence* $1 \to \Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}} \to \Pi^{(i,\mathrm{ab})} \to G_k \to 1$ *splits (this is the case for example if the curve* X_i *has index* 1 *(cf. Lemma 1.2)), for each integer* $i \geq 1$. *Then the exact sequence* $1 \to I_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}} \to G_k \to 1$ **splits**.

Proof. We will show the existence of a section $\tilde{s} : G_k \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}$ of the natural projection $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$. For each integer $i \geq 1$ let

$$\{\Delta_j^{i,\mathrm{ab}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} j.\Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}}\}_{j \geq 1},$$

which is a system of open characteristic subgroups of $\Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}}$ such that

$$\Delta_{j'}^{i,\mathrm{ab}} \subseteq \Delta_j^{i,\mathrm{ab}} \quad \text{if} \quad j/j', \qquad \Delta_1^{i,\mathrm{ab}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Delta^{i,\mathrm{ab}}, \qquad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{j \geq 1} \Delta_j^{i,\mathrm{ab}} = \{1\}.$$

Write $\Delta_{i,j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta^{i,\text{ab}}/\Delta_j^{i,\text{ab}}$, and $\Pi_{i,j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pi^{(i,\text{ab})}/\Delta_j^{i,\text{ab}}$. Thus, we have a natural exact sequence

$$1 \to \Delta_{i,j} \to \Pi_{i,j} \to G_k \to 1,$$

and a projective system $\{\Pi_{i,j}\}_{(i,j)}$, where the the set of pairs of integers (i,j) is endowed with the product order. Note that we have natural identifications $\Delta^{(i,\text{ab})} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \varprojlim_{j\geq 1} \Delta_{i,j}$, and $\Pi^{(i,\text{ab})} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \varprojlim_{j\geq 1} \Pi_{i,j}$. Moreover, we have a natural identification $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \varprojlim_{(i,j)} \Pi_{i,j}$ (cf. Lemma 1.3, and the above discussion). In particular, the set $\text{Sec}(G_k, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}})$ of group-theoretic sections of the natural projection $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ is naturally identified with the projective limit $\varprojlim_{(i,j)} \text{Sec}(G_k, \Pi_{i,j})$ of the sets $\text{Sec}(G_k, \Pi_{i,j})$ of group-theoretic sections of the natural projection $\Pi_{i,j} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$. For each pair of integers (i,j) the set $\text{Sec}(G_k, \Pi_{i,j})$ is non-empty, since $\Pi_{i,j}$ is a quotient of $\Pi^{(i,\text{ab})}$ (cf. the assumption that (the group extension) $\Pi^{(i,\text{ab})}$ splits). Moreover, the set $\text{Sec}(G_k, \Pi_{i,j})$ is, up to conjugation by the elements of $\Delta_{(i,j)}$, a torsor under the group $H^1(G_k, \Delta_{i,j})$ which is finite since k is a p-adic local field (cf. [Neukirch-Schmidt-Winberg], (7.1.8) Theorem (iii)). Thus, $\text{Sec}(G_k, \Pi_{i,j})$ is a nonempty finite set. Hence the set $\text{Sec}(G_k, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is nonempty being the projective limit of nonempty finite sets. This finishes the proof of Proposition 1.5. $\square$

In fact, we proved the following more precise statement.

Proposition 1.6. *Let $s : G_k \to \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\text{et})}$ be a section of the natural projection $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\text{et})} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$. Suppose that for each open subgroup $H \subseteq \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\text{et})}$ such that $s(G_k) \subset H$, and the corresponding étale cover $\mathcal{X}_H \to \mathcal{X}$, it holds that the index of the k-curve $\mathcal{X}_H \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} k$ equals 1. Then there exists a section $\tilde{s} : G_k \to \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\text{et,ab})}$ of the natural projection $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\text{et,ab})} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ which lifts the section s, i.e., such that we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_k & \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}} & \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\text{et,ab})} \\ {\scriptstyle \text{id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_k & \xrightarrow{s} & \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\text{et})} \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is the map in diagram (1.1).

Proof. Follows from the above discussion, Proposition 1.4, Proposition 1.5, the commutative diagram (1.3), and Lemma 1.2. $\square$

Remark 1.7. Proposition 1.6 remains valid if instead of assuming the curves $\mathcal{X}_H \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} k$ to have index 1 one assumes that if J_H denotes the jacobian of $\mathcal{X}_H \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} k$ and J_H^1 is the corresponding torsor Pic^1, then the class $[J_H^1]$ of J_H^1 in $H^1(G_k, J_H)$ lies in the maximal divisible subgroup of $H^1(G_k, J_H)$, as Lemma 1.2 is still valid under a similar assertion (cf. proof of Lemma 1.2 and the reference therein).

§**2. Proof of Theorem A and Theorem C.** The rest of this paper is devoted to proving Theorem A and Theorem C. We use the notations introduced in §0 and §1.

Proof of Theorem A. Assume that $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies the condition ($\star$). Thus, $\mathcal{X}$ is *regular*, the special fibre $\mathcal{X}_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_k} \text{Spec}\,F$ has an *irreducible component* X_{i_0}

which is *(geometrically) reduced*, *geometrically irreducible*, *geometrically unibranch*, and *its normalisation* $X_{i_0}^{\mathrm{nor}}$ *is hyperbolic*. In order to prove Theorem A it suffices to construct a group-theoretic section $s : G_k \to \Pi^{(\mathrm{et})}$ of the natural projection $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et})} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$, with corresponding open subgroups $\widehat{\Pi}^i[s] \subset \Pi^{(\mathrm{et})}$, such that in the corresponding étale covers $X_i \to X$ the index of X_i equals 1, for each integer $i \geq 1$ (cf. Proposition 1.5 and Lemma 1.4).

Let

$$D_{X_{i_0}} \subset \Pi^{(\mathrm{et})} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \widehat{\pi}_1(\mathcal{X}_s, \xi)$$

be a *decomposition group* associated to the irreducible component X_{i_0} of $\mathcal{X}_s$. More precisely, $D_{X_{i_0}}$ is the decomposition group of a connected component $\widetilde{X}_{i_0}$ of the fibre of X_{i_0} in (the special fibre of) the universal pro-étale cover of $\mathcal{X}$, corresponding to the quotient $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et})}$ of $\pi_1(X, \eta)$, and $D_{X_{i_0}}$ is only defined up to conjugation. Note that $\widetilde{X}_{i_0}$ is an *irreducible pro-curve* (i.e., is the projective limit of irreducible curves) since the morphism $\widetilde{X}_{i_0} \to X_{i_0}$ is pro-étale, X_{i_0} is geometrically unibranch, and $\widetilde{X}_{i_0}$ is connected.

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & D_{\overline{X}_{i_0}} & \longrightarrow & D_{X_{i_0}} & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{X}_{i_0}, \bar{\xi}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_{i_0}, \xi) & \longrightarrow & G_F & \longrightarrow & \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

where $D_{\overline{X}_{i_0}}$ is defined so that the upper sequence is exact, $\pi_1(X_{i_0}, \xi)$ is the *arithmetic fundamental group* of X_{i_0}, the right vertical map is the natural projection, and the right square is *cartesian*. In particular, the left vertical map in the above diagram is an isomorphism.

Let

$$\bar{s}_{i_0} : G_F \to \pi_1(X_{i_0}, \xi)$$

be a group-theoretic section of the lower sequence in diagram (2.1) (this sequence splits since G_F is pro-free), which induces naturally (cf. above cartesian square in diagram (2.1)) a section

$$s_{i_0} : G_k \to D_{X_{i_0}}$$

of the natural projection $D_{X_{i_0}} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$, hence induces also a **section**

$$s \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} s_{i_0} : G_k \to D_{X_{i_0}} \subset \Pi^{(\mathrm{et})}$$

of the upper sequence in diagram (1.2) (cf. above discussion).

Recall the above étale cover $\mathcal{X}_i \to \mathcal{X}$ (cf. discussion after diagram (1.2)), which corresponds to the open subgroup $\widehat{\Pi}^i[s] = \Delta^{i,\mathrm{et}}.s(G_k)$. Let X_{i,i_0} be the image of $\widetilde{X}_{i_0}$ in $\mathcal{X}_i$, and $X_{i,i_o} \to X_{i_0}$ the corresponding étale cover. Thus, the étale cover $X_{i,i_o} \to X_{i_0}$ corresponds to the open subgroup $\widehat{\Pi}^i[s] \cap D_{X_{i_0}} \subseteq D_{X_{i_0}}$ of $D_{X_{i_0}}$. Then X_{i,i_0} is an *F-curve* and the cover $X_{i,i_0} \to X_{i_0}$ is a morphism of F-curves, since the open subgroup $\widehat{\Pi}^i[s] \cap D_{X_{i_0}} \subseteq D_{X_{i_0}}$ contains $s_{i_0}(G_k)$ (hence projects onto G_k via the projection $D_{X_{i_0}} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$), X_{i,i_0} is *reduced* (since it is an étale cover of X_{i_0} which is reduced), hence is also geometrically reduced since F

is perfect, and X_{i,i_0} is *geometrically irreducible* (since $\widetilde{X}_{i_0}$ is irreducible (cf. above discussion)). More precisely, X_{i,i_0} is geometrically unibranch; being an étale cover of X_{i_0} which is geometrically unibranch, and is geometrically connected. Moreover, its normalisation X_{i,i_0}^{nor} is *hyperbolic*, since X_{i,i_0}^{nor} dominates $X_{i_0}^{\mathrm{nor}}$. In particular, the **index** of $X_i \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{X}_i \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_k} \mathrm{Spec}\,k$ equals 1 (cf. Remark 0.2 (ii)).

This finishes the proof of Theorem A. □

Proof of Theorem C. Let F be a finite field, and $C \to \mathrm{Spec}\,F$ a singular **stable** curve with arithmetic genus $g(C) > 1$ such that each double point of C is F-**rational** and lies on two distinct irreducible components of C. For example let $X_0 \to \mathrm{Spec}\,F$ be a proper, smooth, and geometrically connected hyperbolic curve such that $X_0(F) = \{x_i\}_{i\in I} \neq \emptyset$. For each $i \in I$, let E_i be an elliptic curve over F, and identify the origin of E_i with the rational point x_i of X_0 into an ordinary F-rational double point x_i. We obtain a singular stable curve $C = X_0 + \sum_{i\in I} E_i$, whose configuration is tree like, where X_0 intersects E_i at the rational double point x_i, and $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$, for $i \neq j$. Let k be a p-adic local field with residue field F and ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_k$. Using formal patching techniques one can construct a proper and **stable** relative curve $\mathcal{X} \to \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_k$ such that $\mathcal{X}$ is **regular**, and $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} F = C$ (compare with [Saïdi2], Proposition 3.6). Let $X \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} k$. The morphism $x_i : \mathrm{Spec}\,F \to C$ gives rise naturally to a (conjugacy class of) section $\bar{s}_{x_i} : G_F \to \pi_1(C)$ of the natural projection $\pi_1(C) \twoheadrightarrow G_F$, which naturally induces a section $s : G_k \to \Pi^{(\mathrm{et})} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{(\mathrm{et})}$ of the natural projection $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et})} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ (cf. diagram 0.2). Let $H \subseteq \Pi^{(\mathrm{et})}$ be an open subgroup such that $s(G_k) \subset H$, and $\mathcal{X}_H \to \mathcal{X}$ the corresponding étale cover. Thus, $\mathcal{X}_H \to \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_k$ is a relative stable curve, and $\mathcal{X}_H$ is regular. Let $X_H \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{X}_H \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} k$, then the **index** of X_H equals 1. Indeed, if C' is an irreducible component of C which contain the (double) point x_i, and C'_H is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{X}_H$ above C' containing an F-rational (double) point above x_i (cf. the construction of the section s), then C'_H is geometrically integral (cf. Remark 0.2 (ii)).

The above section s satisfies the assumption of Proposition 1.6. Thus, there exists a section $\tilde{s} : G_k \to \Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})}$ of the natural projection $\Pi^{(\mathrm{et,ab})} \twoheadrightarrow G_k$ which lifts the section s (cf. loc. cit.). The section $\tilde{s}$ is **not geometric**. Indeed, if $\tilde{s}$ is geometric and arises from a rational point $x \in X(k)$, then s is also geometric and arises from the rational point x. Then, by construction of the section s, the point x would specialise in the double point x_i as is easily verified. But this is not possible since $\mathcal{X}$ is regular and x should specialise in a smooth point of C (cf. [Liu], Corollary 9.1.32).

This finishes the proof of Theorem C. □

Acknowledgment. I would like very much to thank Akio Tamagawa for several discussions we had on the topic of this paper. I also thank the referee for his/her valuable comments.

References.

[Grothendieck] Grothendieck, A., Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental, Lecture Notes in Math. 224, Springer, Heidelberg, 1971.

[Harari-Szamuely] Harari, D., Szamuley, T., Galois sections for abelianized fundamental groups (With an appendix by E. V. Flynn), Math. Ann. 344 (2009), no. 4,

779–800.
[Hoshi] Hoshi, Y., Existence of nongeometric pro-p Galois sections of hyperbolic curves, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 46 (2010), no. 4, 829-848.
[Liu] Liu, Q., Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves, Oxford graduate texts in mathematics 6. Oxford University Press, 2002.
[Neukirch-Schmidt-Winberg] Neukirch, J., Schmidt, A., Winberg, K., Cohomology of Number Fields, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 323, Springer, 2000.
[Raynaud] Raynaud, M., Spécialisation du fonctuer de Picard, Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., tome 38 (1970), p. 27-76.
[Saïdi] Saïdi, M., On the p-adic section conjecture, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, Volume 217, Issue 3 (2013), 583-584.
[Saïdi1] Saïdi, M., The cuspidalisation of sections of arithmetic fundamental groups, Advances in Mathematics 230 (2012) 1931-1954.
[Saïdi2] Saïdi, M., Revêtements étale abéliens, courants sur les graphes et réduction semi-stable des courbes, Manuscripta Math. 89 (1996), no.2, 245-265.

Mohamed Saïdi
College of Engineering, Mathematics, and Physical Sciences
University of Exeter
Harrison Building
North Park Road
EXETER EX4 4QF
United Kingdom
M.Saidi@exeter.ac.uk